The Secular Evolution of the Primordial Kuiper Belt

Joseph M. Hahn (LPI)

March 20, 2003

What Happened to the Kuiper Belt?

- KBO orbits indicate that some process stirred up the KB
- Neptune's outward migration does explain the 3:2.
 - but does not account for high i's
 - nor the low-q KBOs in the Scattered Disk.
 - * Gomez (2003) showed that high e, i Scattered KBOs can 'invade' the Main Belt, but $\varepsilon \sim 0.001$.
- will investigate more efficient processes



The Curious KBO Inclinations

- Main Belt has bimodal *i*'s (Brown 2001)
 - $i \sim 2^{\circ}$ (dynamically cold, flat disk)
 - and $i \sim 20^{\circ}$ (a hotter halo of KBOs?)
- again, Gomez' 'invasion' of the Main Belt can explain the bimodal i's, but $\varepsilon\sim 0.001$
- I'll explore a more efficient mechanism for stirring up the KB—possibly too efficient?



Secular Evolution of the Kuiper Belt

- secular perturbations are the constant or low-frequency gravitational forces exerted by a perturber
- of particular interest are secular resonances, which are sites where a perturber's precession rate matches a small body's:
 - large *e*'s are excited where $\dot{\tilde{\omega}}_{\text{particle}} = \dot{\tilde{\omega}}_{\text{perturber}}$
 - large *i*'s are excited where $\dot{\Omega}_{\text{particle}} = \dot{\Omega}_{\text{perturber}}$
- in a gravitating disk, this e-disturbance can propagate away from resonance as a spiral density wave [aka, apsidal wave (Ward and Hahn 1998)].
- the *i*-disturbance can propagate away from resonance as a spiral bending (or nodal) wave (Ward and Hahn 2003).

The Rings Model



- note that the secular evolution of a system of pointmasses is identical to that of gravitating rings (e.g., Murray and Dermott 1999).
- treat a disk of numerous small bodies as a nested set of interacting rings of mass m_j , orbits $(a_j, e_j, i_j, \tilde{\omega}_j, \Omega_j)$ and thickness h_j due to their particles dispersion velocities c_j .
- the planets are thin $h_j = 0$ rings.
- evolve the system as per the Lagrange planetary equations
 - apply the well-known Laplace–Lagrange solution to obtain the system's secular evolution
 - note, however, that the rings' finite thickness h softens their gravity, which in turn requires softening the solution's Laplace coefficients over the scale h/a.

WKB Analysis

- a WKB solution (e.g., an approximate solution) to the planetary equations yields the properties of these waves
 - two types of apsidal density waves:
 - * long waves with wavelength $\lambda_L \propto M_{KB}$
 - * short waves with wavelength $\lambda_S \lesssim 10h$
 - there are only long nodal bending waves with wavelength $\lambda_L \propto M_{KB}$
- apsidal density waves propagate propagate between a resonance and the Q-barrier, which lies where h exceeds the threshold

$$h_Q \simeq 0.3 \frac{M_{KB}}{M_{\text{Sun}}} \left| \frac{n}{\Omega_{\text{pattern}}} \right| a$$
 (1)

- if long density waves encounter a disk edge or a Q-barrier, they reflect as short density waves
- nodal bending waves propagate between resonance and the disk edge, or else they *stall* where $h \simeq 3h_Q \leftarrow \text{New}!$

Simulation of Apsidal Density Waves in a $M_{KB} = 10 \text{ M}_{\oplus}$ Kuiper Belt with h = 0.01a



Summary of Apsidal Density Waves in the KB

- simulated Belt's have masses $M_{KB} = 30$ to 0.2 M_{\oplus} (e.g., the Belt's primordial mass to its current, eroded mass) and h = 0.002a
- density waves reflect at the disk edge at 70 AU or at a Q-barrier.
 - reflected short waves are nonlinear, ie., $\Delta\sigma/\sigma\sim 1$
- the giant planets deposit $\sim 0.5\%$ of their *e*-AMD into the disk in the form of spiral density waves.
 - consequently, larger e's get excited in lower–mass disks
 - waves excite large e's in low-mass disks, $e \sim 0.3$ for $M_{KB} \sim 0.2 \ M_{\oplus}$
 - but this requires a very thin disk, $h \sim 0.002a$



7

Summary of Nodal Bending Waves in the KB

- the giant planets deposit $\sim 10\%$ of their *i*-AMD into the disk in the form of spiral bending waves.
 - again, larger *i*'s get excited in lower–mass disks
- bending waves also reflect at the disk edge at 70 AU or else they stall where $h \gtrsim 3h_Q$
 - note the low *i*'s interior to the stall-zone



Implications for the Primordial Kuiper Belt

when the KB was still young and quite massive, M_{KB} ~ 30 M_⊕, then low-amplitude apsidal density waves (e_{max} ~ 0.02) and nodal bending waves (i_{max} ~ 0.5°) were sloshing about the KB.

- wave propagation times were short,

$$T_{\rm prop} \sim 10^6 \left(\frac{\Delta a}{30 \text{ AU}}\right) \left(\frac{M_{KB}}{30 \text{ M}_{\oplus}}\right)^{-1} \text{ years}$$
 (2)

- the density waves eventually reflect and return as nonlinear short waves having $\Delta\sigma/\sigma \sim 1$ which dominate the Belt's surface density structure
- there was no localized excitation of e's and i's since there are no resonances in this massive Belt.

Implications for the Current Kuiper Belt

- over time, gravitational stirring by large KBOs increased the disk thickness h while collisional erosion decreased $M_{KB} \rightarrow 0.2 \ M_{\oplus}$
 - stirring/erosion draws the Q-barrier and the stall-zone inwards to the secular resonances at ~ 40 AU which ultimately shuts off wave action
- if stirring/erosion happened quickly, in less than $T_{prop} \sim 50 \times 10^6$ years (the time for waves to propagate out to the Main Belt) then wave-action did not excite the KB \leftarrow least exotic outcome
- but if the stirring/erosion timescale > T_{prop} , then bending waves with $i_{wave} \sim 10^{\circ}$ would have destroyed the Main Belt's low $i \sim 2^{\circ}$ component \leftarrow not allowed
- so if bending waves did get into the Main Belt, they must have stalled far downstream
 - if this distant stall-zone slowly migrated inwards to ~ 50 AU due to KB erosion, this would have terminated the Main Belt at ~ 50 AU by lofting the more distant KBOs into high i orbits \leftarrow a bit exotic, but does agree with observations... 10

- alternatively, waves could have avoided destroying the Main Belt by propagating into a more distant reservoir of as-yet-unseen KBOs orbiting beyond 50 AU
 - however many KB astronomers object to this utterly speculative scenario...